

Lab Bench to Commercialization (LB2C) Grant Program: Proposal Evaluation Form

Funding Cycle 2023–2024

Instructions for reviewers: Assign each of the review criteria a score between zero and 25 points, where zero is the lowest possible score and 25 is the highest possible score. Sum the review criteria subscores to provide an overall score, and provide a recommendation for funding (yes/no) to reflect your assessment of the likelihood for the project to have a meaningful impact on the scientific field and of its potential for successful commercialization.

Bulleted questions included under each of the review criteria represent the types of considerations reviewers may use to evaluate the proposal. Reviewers are welcome to consider additional areas of evaluation when appropriate.

Review Criteria

Consider each of the review criteria as they pertain to the scientific and commercial merit of the proposal.

1. Technology development plan	Score (out of 25):
Have the investigators outlined a credible technology development plan with reasonable timelines, funding needs, and deliverables?	
Will the proposed milestones significantly advance the technology toward commercialization?	
Comments:	

2. Commercialization plan	Score (out of 25):
Does the technology have a feasible path to commercialization, and does it lend itself to a well-defined final product and target market?	
Is there known (or likely) interest in the technology from potential third-party licensees or investors?	
Comments:	

3. Project scope	Score (out of 25):
Have the investigators presented an overall project plan that has sound scientific and commercialization deliverables?	
Has consideration been given to potential/anticipated hurdles (e.g., government and/or other regulations)?	
Comments:	

4. Project significance	Score (out of 25):
Have the investigators identified a credible innovation that has the potential to lead to a marketable product, process, or service?	
Have the investigators presented a clear overview of the technology's competitive advantage(s) compared to existing technologies?	

Has consideration been given to IP protection?
Comments:

Overall Score and Recommendation for Funding

Calculate an overall score for the proposed project by summing the review criteria subscores, and indicate a recommendation for funding (yes/no).

Overall score (out of 100):	Recommendation for funding (yes/no):
Comments:	